Warm absorbers in AGN

Elisa Costantini (SRON, The Netherlands)



Black Hole Astrophysics Winchester 18-22.07.11



- What do we know about warm absorbers?
- What is their importance?
- A glance at the future

Absorbing gas (Warm Absorbers)

- Absorption by ionized gas in our line of sight is seen in ~50% of AGN
- Most of the gas is seen outflowing (100-1000 km/s)
- In general there is no clear connection between emission by the BLR or NLR and WA
 - \rightarrow Different opening angle of the gas
 - → Different location
- The WA does not have a precise location in the classical unified model.

IONIZED (WARM) ABSORPTION















Importance of WA

- Geometry of the BH environment
- Self sustenance of the BH system (balance between accretion and ejection)
- Enrichment of the host galaxy (Wyithe & Loeb 2003)
- They may affect dispersal of heavy elements into the IGM and ICM (Scannapieco & Oh 2004)
- Invoked for quenching star formation in Galaxy Cluster

The X-ray instruments







Band (Å) Resolution $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$

XMM- Newton RGS	7-35	400
Chandra- LETGS	2-80	500
Chandra- HETGS	1.5-20	1000

The UV instruments



COS Cosmic Origin Spectrograph	1150-2050 1700-3200	16,000-24,000
STIS Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph	1150-10,000	10,000

With higher redshift \rightarrow ground based telescopes

	Band (Å) Resolution $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$				
ARC @ Apache Point Obs.	3800-6300	3000-5000	100		
VLT-UVES	3200-9000	40,000	80		





The multiwavelength approach

- UV spectra (STIS, COS, FUSE):
 - limited wavelength band → relatively few ions are represented (e.g. CIV, NV, Ly series), OVI (FUSE)
 - High resolution (R=20,000) → velocity resolved spectroscopy
- X-rays spectra (RGS, LETGS, HETGS):
 - Broad band → dozen of transitions from most abundant element and variety of ionization states (note: no H!)
 - Low resolution (R=400-1000) \rightarrow blurred vision of the lines (Review in Costantini 2010)



Photoionization



35

30

20

0

10

15

20

Wavelength

25

Given an SED \rightarrow ionization balance \rightarrow Solve for NH and ionization parameter.

UV \rightarrow few, extremely detailed, ions \rightarrow logU—NH grid (Cloudy, XSTAR) \rightarrow uncertainty

 $U = \int \frac{L_v^{ion} / hv}{4\pi r^2 nc} dv$

X-rays \rightarrow hundreds of transitions (roughly defined) \rightarrow Secure determination of U and NH \rightarrow a wide range of ionization stages are probed \rightarrow iron UTA is very sensitive to ξ

The census of a WA

- Low ionization WA \rightarrow UV
- Shared component UV/X (CIV, NV, OVI, OVII)
- (Higher-v) higher-xi, higher N_H component →
 OVIII, NeIX, absorption from Fe L and Fe K



See next talk by Ebrero for a case of complex connection between the UV and X-rays' ionized gas

High X-ray ionization gas



- High ionization gas is only visible if the column density is high enough
- \rightarrow Observational bias (i.e. there must be also low-NH high gas)
- ightarrow high gas mass carried away by the outflow

UFOs: Ultrafast Outflows

- Highly ionized, high column density and very high outflow velocity v=0.2-0.4c gas
- UFOs are very appealing from the theoretical point of view
 But: elusive (often only 1 line is detected), transient, sometimes unsure detection
- Next generation instruments are needed to fully characterize them



Continuos vs discrete components

- Is the warm absorber composed by a handful of ionized components or is it a continuous stratification in ionization and/or density?
 - Is there a pattern in the ξ distribution?
 - Are those components in pressure equilibrium?
 - Does the gas respond promptly to flux variations?





Ionization distribution

- Individual ionic column densities vs the Ionization parameter ξ at which the ion peaks \rightarrow Powerlaw-like distribution
- ightarrow Is it a smooth monotonic distribution of ions
- Model of $dN_H/d\xi$ vs ξ → Continuous distribution (but not always!)



(Behar+og)



The case of Mrk509

600 ks XMM + Chandra+ COS +Integral +Swift



The dN_H/d ξ shows a strongly peaked distribution, correspondent to positively detected components

+ possible fast response to the continuum flux changes (Kaastra+11 in prep) \rightarrow evidence of the discrete component nature of the warm absorber What we measure directly of a WA:

- UV: kinematics, line width, covering factors, abundances, ionization state
- X-rays: ionization state, multi-ion components, N_H=10²⁰⁻²³ cm⁻², outflow velocity.

What we do NOT measure directly:

• Gas density and its distribution, gas distance, gas thickness, opening angle $\xi = \frac{L^{ion}}{2}$

Outflows and feedback

Mass outflow rate:

$$M_{out} = 4\pi r N_H m_H C_g v_r \quad M_{sun} yr^{-1}$$

Mass accretion rate:

$$\overset{\bullet}{M}_{acc} = \frac{L_{bol}}{c^2 \eta} \qquad M_{sun} yr^{-1}$$

Kinetic Luminosity:

$$L_{kin} = 1/2 \dot{M}_{out} v^2$$

 \rightarrow Density is important for

AGN physics

AGN relation with surroundings

UV density diagnostic

- Metastable levels, detected in the UV: e.g. CIII*, FeII*. These are levels just above the ground level, which are populated by collisions → strong dependence on density.
- X-ray metastable levels are weak and sensitive to higher densities (e.g OV* *Kaastra+o4*) + λ still uncertain





Density estimate through variability

- Metastable levels detection are sensitive only to given densities.
- Monitoring the variability of the WA ionization as a function of the continuum flux is in principle sensitive to any density.

$$t_{eq}^{X^{i},X^{i+1}}(t \to t+dt) \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \overline{\alpha_{rec}(X^{i},1_{e})_{eq}n_{e}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\times \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\alpha_{rec}(X^{i-1},T_{e})}{\alpha_{rec}(X^{i},T_{e})}\right)_{eq}} + \left(\frac{n_{X^{i+1}}}{n_{X^{i}}}\right)_{eq} \end{bmatrix}_{t+dt}$$
(b)

 \rightarrow If the flux varies on a certain $t \rightarrow$ the variability of the WA provides a lower limit on the density \rightarrow Upper limit on the distance

(e.g. Netzer+02, Krongold+05, Detmers+08, Longinotti, Costantini +10)

$$\xi = \frac{L^{ion}}{nr^2}$$

Density estimate through variability: problems

- If the source varies slowly → comparing observations taken years apart provides loose constraints on *n* E.g.: QSO and even Seyfert 1
- If the source varies wildly on a short time scale
 → good monitoring but low statistics in different flux bins
 E.g. Narrow line Seyfert 1





Gas Location and feedback budget

- NGC3783: ~25pc (Gabel+05)
- NGC4151: ~0.1 pc (Crenshaw & Kraemer 09)
- NGC5548 < 7pc (Kraemer+09)
- Mrk279 < 29 pc
 (Ebrero, EC+10)
- NGC3516: 0.2 pc (Netzer+o2)
- NGC 4051 0.5-3 l.d. \rightarrow 1-3pc (Krongold+07, Steenbrugge+09)
- Rate M_{out} = 0.01—0.06 M_{sun}yr⁻¹ ~ Rate M_{acc} for many cases
- In some outliers, $M_{out} > 10 M_{acc}$
- Kinetic luminosity is < 1% L_{bol}
- \rightarrow Little contribution to the energetics. But is that all?
 - \rightarrow only one component is measured (but multi-comp. in WA)
 - \rightarrow WAs originate from different locations

Are Seyfert 1 representative ?

Broad Absorption Line QSO -Deep blend of lines with Outflows=1000-40,000 km/s

> Seyfert 1 -Narrow absorption lines Outflows=100-1000 km/s

> > → If different class of AGN are due solely to orientation effects
> > → For every AGN there exists a BAL component!

VLT campaign on BAL QSO z=0.8-2

Broad absorption line systems: V_{out}=2000-40,000 km/s

Density is solidly calculated using FeII* diagnostic.

Distance estimation puts the Outflow far from the source: **3-6 kpc**

Contribution to feedback is non null: 0.1-1% of bolometric Luminosity

Note: distance determined for the Slowest component (4900 km/s)



QSO 2359-1241, Arav, Moe, EC+o8, Korista+o8, Dunn+10, Moe+09,

BAL outflows in X-rays

BAL QSO are X-ray weak → Very difficult to study!

 $\rightarrow V_{out} \text{ up to 0.76} c$ $\rightarrow M \text{ rate = 16-64 } M_{sun}/\text{yr}$ $\rightarrow L_{kin}/L_{Bol} = 0.18-1.7!!$

Implications: Considering a reasonable AGN life-time (4x10⁸ yr, *Ebrero+o9*)

```
E_{kin} \sim 10^{59} \text{ erg}

E_{kin} >> 10^{55} \text{ erg}

(evaporation in the ISM, Krongold+10)

E_{kin} \cong 10^{60} \text{ erg}

(ejection in the IGM, Scannapieco & Oh, 2004)
```



See talk by Giustini on BAL QSOs



→census of the wa

>variability studies

- Calculate density/distance for multiple components
- \rightarrow Understand the relative location of the WAs (disk, torus)
- \rightarrow Feedback and AGN physics

→ abundances

Mrk 509 seen by Athena



- Mrk 509 (F=3.8e-11cgs)
- → Large multiwavelength campaign (Pls:Kaastra, Petrucci) → main goal: monitoring of the WA
- → 12 ks of XMS are sufficient to get the same s/n of RGS
- → Monitoring of the WA will be a routine!

Conclusions

- Status of the art of WA studies:
 - Important for AGN self-sustenance
 - Important for Feedback
 - Current analysis pushes at the limit the performances of present high-res instruments
 - Time Expensive observations
- The future: Athena-XMS will have the resolution (3eV), and Area (0.5m2) to untie the crucial knot:
 - Density of the multi-components gas